SUNY Geneseo **[KnightScholar](https://knightscholar.geneseo.edu/)**

[Biology Faculty/Staff Works](https://knightscholar.geneseo.edu/biology) **By Department**

2004

Regulation of development by Rx genes

Travis J. Bailey SUNY Geneseo

Heithiem El-Hodiri

Li Zhang

Rina Shah

Peter H. Mathers

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://knightscholar.geneseo.edu/biology](https://knightscholar.geneseo.edu/biology?utm_source=knightscholar.geneseo.edu%2Fbiology%2F6&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Bailey T.J., El-Hodiri H., Zhang L., Shah R., Mathers P.H., Jamrich M. (2004) Regulation of development by Rx genes. International Journal of Developmental Biology 48: 761-770. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.041878tb

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the By Department at KnightScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty/Staff Works by an authorized administrator of KnightScholar. For more information, please contact [KnightScholar@geneseo.edu.](mailto:KnightScholar@geneseo.edu)

Authors

Travis J. Bailey, Heithiem El-Hodiri, Li Zhang, Rina Shah, Peter H. Mathers, and Milan Jamrich

Regulation of vertebrate eye development by Rx genes

TRAVIS J. BAILEY¹, HEITHEM EL-HODIRI³, LI ZHANG⁴, RINA SHAH², PETER H. MATHERS⁵ and MILAN JAMRICH^{*,1,2}

¹Program in Developmental Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA, ²Departments of Molecular and Human Genetics and Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA, ³Division of Molecular and Human Genetics, Children's Research Institute, Columbus, OH, USA, ⁴Novasite Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA and ⁵Sensory Neuroscience Research Center, West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, WV, USA

ABSTRACT The paired-like homeobox-containing gene Rx has a critical role in the eye development of several vertebrate species including Xenopus, mouse, chicken, medaka, zebrafish and human. Rx is initially expressed in the anterior neural region of developing embryos, and later in the retina and ventral hypothalamus. Abnormal regulation or function of Rx results in severe abnormalities of eye formation. Overexpression of Rx in Xenopus and zebrafish embryos leads to overproliferation of retinal cells. A targeted elimination of Rx in mice results in a lack of eye formation. Mutations in Rx genes are the cause of the mouse mutation eyeless (ey1), the medaka temperature sensitive mutation eyeless (el) and the zebrafish mutation chokh. In humans, mutations in Rx lead to anophthalmia. All of these studies indicate that Rx genes are key factors in vertebrate eye formation. Because these results cannot be easily reconciled with the most popular dogmas of the field, we offer our interpretation of eye development and evolution.

KEY WORDS: *retina, Rx, Rax, eye development*

Initial stages of vertebrate eye development

The formation of the vertebrate eye is an integral part of head formation and it requires the specification and regionalization of the anterior neural plate, evagination of the optic vesicles and finally, the cellular differentiation of the lens and retina. Vertebrate head formation and the commitment of cells towards ocular fates has been intensely studied for many decades, and several important interactions have been identified (Fig. 1). Early experiments in *Triturus* by Hilde Mangold and Hans Spemann (Spemann and Mangold, 1924) demonstrated that the dorsal blastopore lip, when transplanted to the ventral side of the amphibian embryo, can induce the formation of a secondary embryo. Because of the ability of the dorsal blastopore lip to organize surrounding tissue in this process, this region was named, by Spemann, the organizer. Later experiments by Spemann and Otto Mangold (Spemann, 1931; Mangold, 1933) demonstrated that the organizer can be divided into a head inducer and a trunk inducer. This division was made based on the observation that the anterior part of the organizer has the ability to induce secondary head structures, while the posterior part can induce trunk structures.

One of the major processes triggered by Spemann's organizer is neural induction that leads to the formation of the neural plate. A group of cells in the anterior end of the neural plate is then specified to form the retina. Neural induction is initiated during gastrulation and experimental evidence suggests that the eye field is specified to some degree by the midgastrula stage of development (Lupo et al., 2002). The molecular mechanism leading to formation of the cephalic region is not yet fully understood, but several genes have been isolated that can, like the head organizer, induce formation of secondary head structures when injected into *Xenopus* embryos. Secreted proteins like Cerberus and Dickkopf-1 (Bouwmeester et al., 1996; Glinka et al., 1998) are able to induce head formation when ectopically expressed on the ventral side of Xenopus embryos. *Chordin* and *noggin* can induce neural tissue by physically binding BMP4, a TGFβ-like molecule that needs to be repressed in order to convert uncommitted ectoderm into neuroectoderm (Sasai et al., 1994; Zimmerman et al., 1996). Complex interactions of Cerberus, Dickkopf-1, chordin and noggin with the components of the wnt, nodal, FGF and IGF signaling pathways lead to proper regionalization of the anterior neural plate (Houart et al., 2002; Lagutin et al., 2003; Lupo et al., 2002; Pera et al., 2001; Piccolo et al., 1999).

 The specification of the anterior neural plate is characterized at the molecular level by activation of several homeobox-contain-

Abbreviations used in this paper: BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; el, eyeless gene; ey1, eyeless 1 gene; RxMO, Rx morpholino; RX/RAX, human Rx gene; Rx morpholino.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Milan Jamrich, Ph.D. Associate Professor. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology. Baylor College of Medicine. One Baylor Plaza, N620, Houston, Texas 77030. Fax: 713 798 3017. E-mail: jamrich@bcm.tmc.edu

ing genes including Otx2, Pax6, Six3 and Six6. In Xenopus, these genes are activated almost simultaneously in a partially overlapping domain in the anterior neural plate (Mathers et al., 1995) and some are involved in the patterning of the forebrain and eye development. One of the earliest genes expressed in the anterior neural region is the homeobox gene Otx2. Otx2 is required for the formation of the anterior neural region, as mice lacking $Otx2$ function form neither forebrain nor midbrain (Acampora et al., 1995). The role of $Otx2$ in the specification of retinal progenitors is not known, but Otx2 is likely to play a permissive rather than an instructive role, as its activity is suppressed in the center of the presumptive eye field, possibly by the Rx protein. However, Otx2 expression remains in the periphery of this field. This differential inactivation of $Otx2$ is of functional significance, as the center of the eye field develops into the neuroretina, while the periphery of the eye field develops into the retinal pigment epithelium (Martinez-Morales et al., 2003). In *Xenopus* there seems to be an important regulatory interaction between $Otx2$ and the T-box containing gene ET (Li et al., 1997; Zuber et al., 2003), but a corresponding T-box gene with a similar function or expression has not yet been identified in higher vertebrates.

 $Pax6$ is another homeodomain-containing transcription factor expressed in the anterior neural plate that plays a crucial role in vertebrate eye formation. Mutations in Pax6 result in eye malformations known as *Aniridia*, Peter's anomaly, and cataracts in humans (Glaser et al., 1992; Hanson et al., 1994; Jordan et al., 1992; Ton et al., 1991) and *Small eye* syndrome in mice and rats (Fujiwara et al., 1994; Hill et al., 1991). The *Drosophila* homologue of Pax6, eyeless, is essential for Drosophila eye formation (Quiring $et al., 1994$). $Six3$ is also expressed in the anterior neural plate (Oliver et al., 1995) and has a critical role in the formation of the forebrain as mutations in the human $Six3$ cause holoprosencephaly (Pasquier et al., 2000; Wallis et al., 1999). Furthermore, mouse embryos lacking Six3 function lack most of the head structures anterior to the midbrain (Lagutin et al., 2003). The specific role of $Six3$ in eye development is not yet known. $Six6$ (Optx2) is expressed in the early precursors of the eye (Jean et al., 1999; Toy and Sundin, 1999) and its overexpression in Xenopus embryos results in the overproliferation of the retinal cells (Zuber et al., 1999). The targeted elimination of this gene in mice confirmed that this gene has a role in the proliferation of retinal progenitor cells (Li et al., 2002).

Recently a small family of paired-like homeobox genes that is critical for eye formation, the Rx/Rax (for Retinal homeobox) family, has been identified (Casarosa et al., 1997; Eggert et al., 1998; Furukawa et al., 1997b; Loosli et al., 2001; Mathers et al., 1997; Ohuchi et al., 1999).

Expression pattern of Xenopus Rx genes

We initially isolated the Rx genes from $Xenopus$ ectoderm treated with 10mM NH₄Cl. When ectoderm from *Xenopus* blastulae (animal caps) is cultured in buffered saline, it forms epidermis. However, when the animal caps are treated with NH_4Cl , they begin to express genes specific for the anterior head region (Jamrich and Sato, 1989; Mathers et al., 1995; Picard, 1975; Sive *et al.*, 1989). It is not fully understood how NH_4C is able to mimic neural induction, but *Xenopus* ectoderm cells undergo alkalinization in response to neural induction (Sater et al., 1994). It appears that $NH₄Cl$ can cause alkalinization of the ectoderm that leads to the formation of neural tissue (Uzman et al., 1998). We made a cDNA library from NH4Cl induced ectoderm and screened this library for homeobox-containing genes using degenerate primers and the polymerase chain reaction. We isolated several genes whose expression we analyzed by whole-mount in situ hybridization. One of the most interesting genes isolated by this approach was the novel, paired-like homeobox gene Rx (for Retinal homeobox) (Mathers et al., 1997). Xrx was also independently isolated by Casarosa et al., (1997) from a stage 24/25 Xenopus cDNA library by screening with the murine Orthopedia probe.

 X *x* is first activated during gastrulation and its transcripts can be detected by *in situ* hybridization in late gastrula/early neurula embryos, demarcating a uniform field of cells in the anterior neural plate (Fig. 2A). X/x expression is sharply delineated anteriorly from the cells of the cement gland anlage, which in Xenopus is the most anterior dorsal structure. The posterior border of X rx expression is in the proximity of the forebrain midbrain boundary. Therefore, it appears that the Xrx early expression domain is primarily localized to the putative forebrain. This uniform domain becomes divided into two eye fields under the influence of the prechordal mesoderm. While today there is a general acceptance that the two eye fields of vertebrates are generated from a single eye anlage, the generation of two eye fields from one was controversial for many decades. For example, Spemann (Spemann, 1938) favored the hypothesis that the two eyes are generated from two independent eye fields, while his major opponent at that time, Adelmann (1929), pioneered the notion that the two eyes are generated from a single eye anlage. It is now known that during neurulation this field is divided along the midline of the embryo into two independent domains, which eventually give rise to the eyes of the embryo by the downregulation of eyespecific markers at the midline (Eggert et al., 1998; Ekker et al., 1995; Li et al., 1997; Macdonald et al., 1995). The signals that promote the division of the eye field emanate from the prechordal mesoderm that is located under the anterior neuroectoderm. In frogs, this midline signaling seems to suppress the retinal fate (Li et al., 1997). In zebrafish the mechanism of eye field separation appears to be different in that the neural cells initially located posterior to the eye field migrate anteriorly and divide the eye field into two optic primordia (Fraser, 1999; Varga et al., 1999). Mutations in genes that are involved in this midline signaling such as ndr2or sonic hedgehoglead to the lack of separation of the two domains and to the formation of cyclopic embryos (Chiang et al., 1996; Hatta et al., 1991).

During neurulation, the retina remains the primary site of Rx expression, but the pineal gland (epiphysis), and the ventral hypothalamus also express this gene (Fig. 2 B,C). Sections of neurula stage embryos show that initially the entire retinal neuroepithelium expresses X rx to the same degree, but by the time the optic cup is formed, the X/x RNA expression domain is restricted to the cells of the retinal ciliary margin (Mathers et al., 1997). This is a very important finding as it had been shown that the retinal ciliary margin contains the multipotent retinal stem cells that continually generate the entire repertoire of retinal cell types throughout *Xenopus* life (Holt et al., 1988; Stiemke and Hollyfield, 1995; Wetts and Fraser, 1988; Wetts et al., 1989). Later in development, $Xrx1$ is reactivated in the photoreceptor cells (Perron *et al.*, 1998).

Conservation of structure and expression of Rx genes

The structure of Rx genes is very conserved and since their discovery, they have been described in several vertebrate and invertebrate species including chicken, Xenopus, mouse, medaka, Drosophila, zebrafish, and human (Casarosa et al., 1997; Eggert et al., 1998; Furukawa et al., 1997b; Loosli et al., 2001; Mathers et al., 1997; Ohuchi et al., 1999). The number of Rx genes varies among different species, and generally ranges from one to three. The homeodomains of Rx proteins are extremely well conserved. They are for example identical between Xenopus, Drosophila and two of the three zebrafish proteins.

The expression pattern of Rx genes in different species is similar, but not identical. Like in Xenopus, the murine $Rx(M/x)$ is first activated in the anterior neural plate of E7.5 embryos. At E10.5 expression of *Mrx* is confined to the developing retina and ventral forebrain. There is a uniform expression in the entire neuroretina of E15.5 embryos. At later stages there is a progressive reduction of *Mrx* expression in the retina, which initiates in the ganglion cells and proceeds in concordance with the loss of proliferative activity in the retinal cell layers. By P6.5 Mrx transcripts are present only in the photoreceptor and inner nuclear layer (Mathers et al., 1997).

While the single mouse Rxgene and the two Xenopus Rxgenes have a very similar expression pattern, in the retina and ventral hypothalamus, the three zebrafish Rx genes display slightly different expression patterns. Initially, all three zebrafish Rx genes are activated in a similar area in the anterior neural plate, but later in development the $Zrx1$ and $Zrx2$ remain active exclusively in the retina, and the $Zrx3$ continues to be expressed in the ventral hypothalamus (Chuang et al., 1999; Chuang and Raymond, 2001; Mathers et al., 1997). Interestingly, during the cellular differentiation of the retina, $Zrx1$ and $Zrx2$ are expressed in the adult cone cells, but not in the rod cells (Chuang et al., 1999). $Zrx3$ is expressed in the inner nuclear layer of the adult retina.

In medaka, $Rx3$ is first expressed at late gastrulation and by the early neurula stages this gene is strongly expressed in a single field of the developing forebrain. By late neurula stages there is strong retinal expression in addition to the forebrain, but this retinal expression is progressively lost as the embryo proceeds through somitogenesis, leaving intense expression only in the ventral diencephalon. Adult fish show $Rx3$ expression in the inner nuclear layer of the retina as well as the hypothalamus (Deschet et al., 1999). Medaka $Rx2$ expression begins several hours later than $Rx3$ in the developing optic vesicle and then is maintained in the neuroretina, but not in the hypothalamus (Loosli et al., 1998).

Two Rx genes were found in chicken, cRax and cRaxL. cRax is detectable in the ectoderm anterior to Hensen's node at stage 4. During neurulation, *cRax* is expressed similarly to mice in the anterior neural folds in the prospective retina, and in the ventral forebrain (Ohuchi et al., 1999). cRaxL is expressed in the anterior neural ectoderm somewhat later than *cRax*. During the cellular differentiation of the retina, it is expressed in the initial stages of photoreceptor differentiation. cRax is not expressed in photoreceptor cells (Chen and Cepko, 2002). A review of Rx expression patterns in different species reveals that the most conserved aspect of vertebrate Rx expression is its early transcription in the anterior neural plate, followed by the expression in the eyes and ventral forebrain. This pattern of expression is conserved in the

Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the regulatory interactions taking place during the specification of the retinal field. This simplified view shows that in the presence of BMP4 expression, the uncommitted ectoderm will form epidermis. As BMP4 is antagonized by chordin, follistatin or noggin, neural tissue will form. Additional inhibition of Wnt and/or nodal pathway is necessary to form anterior neuroectoderm. Anterior neuroectoderm expresses Otx2 that in turn, activates transcription of Rx. Rx performs several functions that are required for the formation of retinal progenitor cells. Rx promotes proliferation and inhibits differentiation of Rx expressing cells. At the same time, it increases transcription of several eye-specific genes like Pax6, Six3 and Lhx2. It also downregulates the transcription of Otx2 in the cells of the presumptive neuroretina. Since many of these regulatory interactions were not yet investigated in detail, it is important to emphasize that arrows between genes do not always imply direct regulatory interactions.

Cascade of events during vertebrate eve development

two *Xenopus Rx* genes, in medaka $Rx3$ and in the mouse Rx (Casarosa et al., 1997; Loosli et al., 2001; Mathers et al., 1997). In zebrafish a duplication of the ancestral Rx gene allowed a progressive specialization of expression of the two Rx genes so that at later developmental stages one of them became preferentially expressed in the eyes $(Rx2)$ and the other in the ventral hypothalamus ($Rx3$). The same separation of function occurs in the adult retina, with M/x in both the photoreceptor layer and inner nuclear layer, while the zebrafish $Rx3$ expression is confined to the inner nuclear layer and $Rx1$ and $Rx2$ are both expressed in the photoreceptor cells. An additional more recent duplication of the $Rx2$ gene led to a presence of three Rx genes in zebrafish, of which two, $Rx1$ and $Rx2$, remain active during retinal development, while the third Rx gene, $Rx3$, remains active only in the ventral hypothalamus. A similar situation is present in the medaka (Loosli et al., 2001). The significance of this divergent evolution of Rx genes is not understood, but one could speculate that the differential expression of Rx genes in the retina and hypothalamus allows an independent regulation of proliferation in the retina and ventral hypothalamus. This in turn would allow modulation of eye development without affecting the development of the hypothalamus and vice versa.

The divergent expression pattern of Rx genes observed in zebrafish and medaka offers a unique opportunity to analyze the evolution of regulatory regions in this small gene family. It will allow identification of sequences that are responsible for the maintenance of Rx expression in the retina, and those responsible for the maintenance of Rx expression in the ventral hypothalamus. Identification of distinct elements that direct expression into the retina and into the ventral hypothalamus would have the advantage of being able to specifically modify gene expression only in the eyes or only in the hypothalamus. Comparison of regulatory elements from mouse, frog, medaka and zebrafish will reveal the molecular mechanism responsible for conserved and diverged aspects of Rx expression during evolution.

In contrast to the vertebrates, the Drosophila Rx (drx) is not expressed in the eye disk, but it is expressed in the part of the brain called the ellipsoid body and in the clypeolabrum (Eggert et al., 1998). In planarians, the Rx gene was isolated in G . tigrina, but the Gtrx does not show any expression in the planarian eye cells (Salo et al., 2002). In hemichordate, Saccoglossus kowalevskii, Rx is expressed in the anterior neuroectoderm. Since this acorn worm does not have eyes, Rx expression in these species cannot be associated with eyes either (Lowe et al., 2003).

Functional studies with a mutated Rx gene

A. Targeted elimination of Rx in mice

The murine $Rx(Mrx)$ was independently isolated by Mathers et al., (1997) and by Furukawa et al., (1997a) who gave this gene the name rax. Transcription of the Mrx begins around E7.5 in the anterior neural plate. At E10.5 expression of *Mrx* is confined to the developing retina and ventral forebrain. We have examined the effects of elimination of Rx function on the morphology of mouse embryos by targeted elimination of the Mrx gene (Mathers et al., 1997). We have found that Mrx -/- embryos have no visible eye structures, while mice heterozygous for the Rx mutation are apparently normal (Fig. 2D). The abnormal phenotype of these embryos is apparent as early as E8.5 by the failure to form the

optic sulci that give rise to the optic cups. This suggests that Rx is essential for initiation of eye development. At the morphological level, the primary problem is in the ventral forebrain. The ventral neuroectoderm is much thinner in mutants than in normal siblings, while the dorsal and lateral forebrain structures appear to be normal (Zhang et al., 2000). We studied the expression of eye specific genes in *Mrx-/-* mutants. The primary focus of our investigation was the expression of *Otx2, Six3, Pax6* and *Foxe3*, In wild type embryos these genes are expressed in early stages of eye formation. Otx2, $Six3$, and Pax6 are initially active in the anterior neural plate; and later in development their expression is prominent in the retinal progenitor cells (Blitz and Cho, 1995; Oliver et al., 1995; Pannese et al., 1995; Simeone et al., 1993; Walther and Gruss, 1991). All three of the genes are also expressed in other areas of the embryo. Foxe3 is initially expressed in the lens placode and later remains active in the cells of the anterior lens epithelium (Blixt et al., 2000; Brownell et al., 2000). When we analyzed expression of Pax6, Otx2, and Six3in Rx-/-embryos, we found that the initial activation of these genes in the anterior neural plate is not Rx dependent, but the specific upregulation of these genes in the retinal progenitor cells is Rx dependent. There are at least two possible interpretations of these findings. First, it is possible that the retinal progenitor cells do not form in these embryos and therefore there is no gene expression characteristic of the developing retina. Second, it is possible that some retinal cells are specified, but they fail to proliferate and because of their small number they are difficult to detect by *in situ* hybridization. Of special significance is the lack of Pax6 expression in the retinal progenitor cells as it suggests that Rx is genetically upstream of $Pax6$. In contrast, there were no significant changes in Rx expression in the $Pax6$ /- background, demonstrating that both Rx expression and the initiation of eye development in mice is Pax6 independent (Zhang et al., 2000). Expression of Foxe3, an early marker of lens development, was not detected in the Mrx-/mutant providing genetic evidence that the formation of the lens in mice depends on formation of retinal progenitor cells. In addition, we have investigated the expression of several other markers of eye development in this mutant, and we found no expression of these genes in the lateral part of the brain where the retina would evaginate. Our interpretation of this finding is that the retinal cells are not specified. An alternative explanation is that the retinal cells are specified, but they do not proliferate and because of their small number they are difficult to detect by in situ hybridization. We consider this possibility unlikely, as we can typically detect single cells by in situ hybridization.

The M/x null embryos are unique in their failure to form an optic vesicle since even *Small eye* mutant embryos show optic vesicle formation, though it is abnormal morphologically (Grindley et al., 1995; Hogan et al., 1986). These observations demonstrate that Mrx function is essential for eye formation from its initial stages and that this gene has a unique role in eye development.

B. Analysis of eye development in embryos with mutated Rx gene

During last few years, several eye mutations have been identified that are due to the incorrect structure or regulation of the Rx gene. The eyeless mutation in mouse (ey1) displays severe eye and hypothalamic abnormalities. These abnormalities are due to a mutation in the Mrx gene that affects a conserved AUG codon

Fig. 2. Rx expression, phenotypes and regulation. (A-C) Expression pattern of Xrx1 in Xenopus embryos. **(A)** Anterior view of an early neurula stage embryo showing expression of Xrx1 in a single field. **(B)** Anterior view of a tadpole showing Xrx1 expression in the pineal gland (PG), ventral hypothalamus (VH), and two developing retinas (R). The cement gland (CG) does not express Xrx1. **(C)** A lateral view of a tadpole showing Xrx1 expression in the retina (R) and pineal gland (PG). Notice the lack of expression in the lens. **(D)** Effects of elimination of Rx function in mouse embryos. Comparison of the Rx-/- mouse embryo (right) with Rx+/- sibling demonstrates that Rx function is required for eye formation. (E,F) Elimination of Xrx1 function by Rx specific morpholino (RxMO) in Xenopus embryos results in the absence of eye formation. **(E)** Uninjected side of embryo showing normal eye development, while the eve is completely missing on the opposite, RxMO injected side **(F).** (G,H) Mutations in the human RX (RAX) gene cause anophthalmia. **(G)** Absence of ocular tissue in a patient with a mutation in RX gene. **(H)** CT scan of the same patient showing anophthalmic orbit (red arrow) and other orbit (red arrowhead). (I,J) Overexpression of Xrx1 RNA in Xenopus embryos results in overproliferation of the cells of the retina and anterior neural tube. **(I)** A cross section through a Xenopus embryo injected on the right side with Xrx1 RNA showing a duplication of the anterior neural tube and overproliferation of retinal cells. **(J)** Both the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and the neuroretina (NR) show overproliferation in this eye from an embryo injected with Xrx1 RNA. As a result, the RPE and the neuroretina show additional folding of the cell layers. (K,L). Regulatory elements of the Xrx1 direct GFP expression into the developing retina of Xenopus embryos. **(K)** Lateral view of a Xenopus

embryo under transmitted light. **(L)** The same embryo viewed under fluorescence optics shows GFP expression in the developing retina. Images (A-C) and (I,J) are modified from Mathers et al., (1997), and images (K,L) are from Zhang et al., (2003). (D-H) are our unpublished data. CG, cement gland; NR, neuroretina; NT, neural tube; PG, pineal gland; R, retina; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium; SNT, secondary neural tube; VH, ventral hypothalamus.

that is used as an alternative translation initiation site. As a consequence of this mutation, the level of Rx protein is reduced (Tucker *et al.*, 2001).

In contrast, the temperature sensitive eyeless mutation in medaka is caused by an intronic insertion in the $Rx3$ gene. This insertion leads to a transcriptional repression of the locus (Loosli *et al.*, 2001) that in turn leads to the lack of eye formation.

The zebrafish mutation *chokh* is caused by a mutation in the homeobox region of the zebrafish $Rx3$ gene. This mutation introduces a stop codon into the homeodomain, severely truncating the Rx protein (Loosli et al., 2003). Consequently, the mutant fish do not develop eyes.

In *Xenopus*, the function of Rx was eliminated by using dominant negative Rx constructs and by Rx -specific morpholinos. Injection of a dominant negative construct $Xrx1$ -EnR or a morpholino directed against Xrx1 into Xenopus embryos leads to a reduction or a loss of eyes and anterior head consistent with the phenotype observed in Rx -/- mice (Fig. 2 E, F - our unpublished observation; also see Andreazzoli et al., 1999; Andreazzoli et al., 2003).

In chicken, a putative dominant-negative allele of RaxL was introduced into the early chick eye using a retroviral vector. This construct caused a significant reduction in expression of early markers of photoreceptor cells. The reduction in numbers of photoreceptor cells was probably due to decreased survival of

developing photoreceptor cells, as there was increased apoptosis among cells of the retina expressing this construct (Chen and Cepko, 2002). In contrast, expression of the dominant-negative RaxL by Sakagami et al., (Sakagami et al., 2003) led to the conclusion that RaxL is involved in the regulation of ganglion cells. The reasons for these contradictory findings are not entirely clear.

In humans, RX has a critical role in eye formation as well. Mutations in human RX cause anophthalmia and sclerocornea (Fig. 2 G, H; Voronina et al., 2004). In this report, a patient was identified with two different mutated alleles of RX , a truncation allele that prevents formation of the DNA-binding helix of the homeodomain and a missense mutation, within this helix, that reduces the ability of RX protein to bind to its DNA target.

C. Overexpression of Rx

The effects of overexpression of Rx genes were primarily examined by injection of Xrx1 synthetic RNA into Xenopus embryos. Overexpression of Xrx1 in the dorsal animal blastomeres of Xenopus embryos results in overproliferation of the neuroretina and retinal pigment epithelium (Fig. 2J). In some embryos ectopic retinal tissue was observed and the anterior neural tube was duplicated (Fig. 2J; Andreazzoli et al., 1999; Mathers et al., 1997). Similar results were obtained in zebrafish by Chuang and Raymond (Chuang and Raymond, 2001).

Regulation of Rx

At the molecular level, expression of Rx can be activated in Xenopus embryos by chordin, noggin, Hedgehog, and wntpathways (Andreazzoli et al., 2003; Rasmussen et al., 2001; Zuber et al., 1999), Neurogenin and retinoic acid represses Rxtranscription (Andreazzoli et al., 2003). Rx expression in the anterior neural plate is surrounded by expression of X-ngnr-1 and p27Xic1. X-ngnr-1 promotes neuronal differentiation and $p27Xi c1$ is a cell cycle inhibitor. It appears that Xrx1 inhibits expression of X-ngnr-1 and $p27X$ ic1. In addition, Rx activates transcription of XBF-1. XBF-1, like X-ngnr-1, inhibits p27Xic1 expression and therefore facilitates cell proliferation (Hardcastle et $al.$, 2000). As a result of all these interaction, the Rx expressing cells proliferate, but they do not differentiate. Another target of Rx is $Otx2$, a homeobox-containing gene that is essential for the specification of the anterior neural plate, but needs to be suppressed in the retinal territory. Rx protein seems to mediate this repression of $Otx2$ transcription (Andreazzoli et al., 1999).

The regulatory elements of the Xenopus tropicalis and laevis Rx gene are located in the 5' upstream region of the gene (Hirsch et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2003). These sequences are able to direct gene expression into the developing eyes and ventral hypothalamus. Because of this, they are uniquely suited to manipulate gene expression in the developing eye and the ventral hypothalamus (Fig. 2 K,L). We used these sequences to investigate the role of FGF signaling mediated by the FGFR-4 in the specification of retinal cell types (Zhang *et al.*, 2003).

Implications for eye development and evolution

The exact function of Rx during eye formation is not yet fully understood, but there is an increasing body of evidence that Rx is primarily involved in the proliferation of cells in the retina and ventral hypothalamus. Xenopus embryos that overexpress Xrx1 gene product show increased number of cells in the retina (Andreazzoli et al., 1999; Mathers et al., 1997). This increased number of retinal cells could be explained by the increased proliferation of cells or by increased recruitment of cells into retinal fate. However, Casarosa et al., (2003) demonstrated that overexpression of Xrx1 in Xenopus embryos lengthen the expression of markers of cycling cells such as cyclin D1, suggesting that proliferation plays an important role in this process. This is further supported by the observation of Andreazzoli et al., (2003) that in Xenopus, Rx controls cell proliferation by inhibition of X-ngnr-1, a factor that promotes neuronal differentiation, and $p27Xic1$, a cell cycle inhibitor. The absence of eyes in Rx -/-mutants is compatible with the function of Rx in the determination and/or proliferation of retinal progenitor cells (Mathers et al., 1997), but Zhang et al., (2000) showed that the unusually thin ventral neuroectoderm that is present in Rx -/- mice is able to induce formation of the Rathke's pouch. This suggests that the ventral neuroectoderm in Rx -/-mice is at least partially specified and functional. However, there is no evidence in this experimental model that the retina is specified, as later markers of retinal development are not expressed and lens induction is not taking place in these animals (Brownell et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000). This would suggest that Rx is involved in the specification of retinal progenitor cells.

In contrast, in the medaka mutation eyeless, there is evidence that some retinal specification is taking place in the absence of $Rx3$ protein (Loosli et al., 2001; Winkler et al., 2000). While the optic cups do not evaginate in this mutant, some gene expression characteristic of later stages of retinal development is observed. Lens induction also takes place. A similar situation was found in the zebrafish mutation *chokh* (Loosli et al., 2003). Based on these observations it was suggested that the function of $Rx3$ is in the evagination of the optic vesicle.

The discrepancy for the different phenotype in mouse and medaka and zebrafish is not fully understood, but it is important to point out that medaka and zebrafish have three Rx genes, while mouse has only one. Furthermore, only the mouse mutant is a true null mutant, complicating the comparison between these species further.

In summary, there is increasing evidence, mainly from Xenopus studies, that Rx acts as a cell type specific proliferation factor that is involved in the proliferation of cells from which the retina and the ventral hypothalamus are derived. Evidence from medaka and zebrafish suggests that Rx might be involved in the morphogenesis of the optic vesicle. Finally, observations from Rx -/- mice suggest that in addition to cell proliferation, Rx might have a role in the specification of the retinal progenitors. This is further supported by the finding that embryonic stem cells can be specified to form retinal cells by ectopic expression of Rx (Tabata et al., 2004).

The requirement for Rx function is not universal in all species. While the development of the vertebrate eye is dependent on Rx function, the development of eyes in lower animals, including the insect, is not. The differential dependence of vertebrate and insect eye formation on Rx could have several reasons; one of them being that the vertebrate and insect eyes have a different evolutionary origin. Many different kinds of eyes are present in the animal kingdom and several theories have been proposed to explain this variety. Some believe that eyes appeared independently many times during evolution (Salvini-Plawen and Mayr, 1977), while others suggest that all eyes evolved from a common prototype

(Gehring and Ikeo, 1999). The currently favored hypothesis that all eyes developed from a common prototype is certainly appealing, but it is somewhat counterintuitive. The basic problem is created by the fact that the camera eye of vertebrates and the compound eye of insects not only look very different, but they are also generated through two entirely different developmental processes. The vertebrate retina is derived from the neuroectoderm, while the Drosophila eve is derived from the surface ectoderm (Wolff and Ready, 1991).

What supports the hypothesis that all eyes developed from a common prototype?

The initial support for this hypothesis came from the observation that orthologues of many genes involved in vertebrate eye development are involved in *Drosophila* eye development. The realization that flies lacking the function of eyeless, or mice lacking the function of the murine eyeless homologue Pax6 do not develop visible eyes (Hill et al., 1991; Quiring et al., 1994), strengthens this argument. Finally, the finding that eyeless and Pax6 can induce ectopic eye formation in *Drosophila* (Halder et al., 1995), led to the suggestions that Pax6 is a master control gene of eye development (Gehring, 1996) and that all eyes evolved from a common prototype (Gehring and Ikeo, 1999). Further support for these two proposals came from the observation that the overexpression of Pax6 in Xenopus embryos can lead to the formation of ectopic eyes (Chow et al., 1999). However, while the experiments leading to these proposals are convincing, there might be alternative explanations for these observations.

While in insects, eyeless truly might be the master gene of eye development, the role of its vertebrate homologue, $Pax6$, is less clear. First of all, the function of $Pax6$ is not as essential for vertebrate eye development as originally believed. While it is true that embryos lacking $Pax6$ function do not develop eyes, the eyes begin to form in Pax6-/-embryos, but they arrest at the optic vesicle stage (Grindley et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 2000). Therefore initiation of vertebrate eye development does not require $Pax6$ function, arguing against $Pax6$ being a master gene of vertebrate eye development.

Second, while it is true that the overexpression of Pax6 can lead to the formation of ectopic eyes in Xenopus, this experiment does not necessarily show that Pax6 is the gene initiating eye formation during normal *Xenopus* development. The interpretation of this experiment is complicated by the fact that genes involved in the regulation of eye development are involved in a complicated regulatory framework in which a feedback mechanism is frequently used (Chen et al., 1997). For this reason, a dramatic overexpression of a certain gene product might activate upstream genes through a feedback loop that during normal development does not play a significant role. Indeed, it was observed that during overexpression of Pax6, Rx is activated ectopically (Chow et al., 1999). This is somewhat troubling in the light of the fact that genetic evidence in mice shows that during normal development activation of Rx is not Pax6 dependent (Zhang et al., 2000). Therefore, it is hard to exclude in this experiment that the formation of ectopic eyes is due to an artificial activation of Rx or some other gene that is normally upstream of Pax6. It is therefore entirely possible that in some cases overexpression experiments show what can be done by a dramatic overproduction of a regulatory molecule, rather than

demonstrating what is taking place during normal embryonic development.

Finally, while it is true that the overexpression of the vertebrate Pax6, like the overexpression of eyeless, leads to ectopic eye formation in *Drosophila*, this experiment only shows that the basic function of $Pax6$ as a transcriptional activator/repressor is conserved to the degree that it can activate the insect eye-forming network. This is not surprising given the extremely high sequence conservation seen in the Pax6 gene family.

Nevertheless, it is clear that many factors that are involved in the invertebrate eye formation have homologues in vertebrates and are expressed during vertebrate eye formation. Does this mean that all eyes developed from a common prototype? While many components of eye development are conserved in very distant species, recently several examples have been brought to light demonstrating that certain components that were believed to be critical for the eye-forming cascade are missing in a certain type of eyes. For example, the planarian eyes require neither $Pax6$ activity nor Six3 (Pineda et al., 2002; Pineda and Salo, 2002). While dachshund is critical for invertebrate eye formation (Mardon et al., 1994), it does not seem to have an important role in vertebrate eye formation (Davis et al., 2001). And last, but not least, vertebrate eye formation is Rx dependent while invertebrate eye development is Rxindependent (Davis et al., 2003). We believe that the differential dependence of eye formation on Rx in insects and vertebrates reflects different evolutionarily origin of these two types of eyes.

We believe that the precursors of the vertebrate eye emerged in a region of the embryo where Rx was essential for the specification, survival or proliferation of cells. When considering this concept, it is important to realize that Rx is not only expressed in retinal progenitor cells. Rather, it is expressed in a field of cells from which the retinal progenitor cells, but also the cells of the ventral hypothalamus, will form. Therefore the expression of Rx , or for that matter Pax6, in the anterior neural plate is not sufficient for the formation of retinal progenitor cells. Indeed, there is no gene that is specifically expressed only in the presumptive retinal progenitor cells. For that reason, we do not believe that Rx , or any other gene can be called the "master gene" of eye development. In addition, the concept of a "master gene' of eye development is not supported by the finding that essentially all the genes involved in eye formation are dispensable in one or the another species. Therefore, we consider it far more likely that specific regulatory interactions between several genes are necessary to assure that the retinal cells are formed.

In the ancestral eye, which eventually gave rise to the distinctive eye of *Drosophila, Pax6* might have played a critical role, but there is increasing evidence that the precursor of vertebrate eyes developed by the formation of a new regulatory network in Rx dependent cells.

Naturally, it is expected that the two regulatory networks involved in the formation of these two different types of eyes will show some similarities, since they were generated from a similar repertoires of active genes in the similar area of the embryo. However, the presence of superficially related regulatory networks cannot be easily used as a proof of a common evolutionary origin, as regulatory circuits are frequently reused during development and differentiation (Pichaud et al., 2001). Some interactions among these genes result in eye formations, others do not. It is possible, indeed likely, that more than one combination of these

transcription factors can lead to eye formation. As a consequence of a different use of these factors, the development and the appearance of eyes vary drastically between species that developed independently. We believe that the different regulatory networks that are present in *Drosophila* and vertebrate eye formation reflect this fact. It is possible that the initial successful interactions that led to the insect eye formation were triggered by Pax6, while Rxtriggered the successful interactions that led to the vertebrate eye formation.

One piece of evidence which directs us towards this alternative explanation of $Pax6$ - and Rx - dependent eye evolution is the presence of two different types of photoreceptor cells which are present in many bilaterian groups (Arendt and Wittbrodt, 2001). It has been suggested that the rhabdomeric photoreceptors might be the manifestation of Pax6 dependent eye evolution, while the ciliary photoreceptors are the result of Rx dependent eye evolution (Arendt, 2003). The hypothesis that Rx has an important function in the photoreceptor formation cannot be excluded. While the knockout of Rx in mouse shows only the dependence of formation of retinal progenitor cells on this gene, expression of Rx was observed in photoreceptor cells of several species (Perron et al., 1998; Chuang et al., 1999; Deschet et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2003). Furthermore, it was shown that Rx binds to the photoreceptor conserved element-1 (PCE-1/Ret 1) in the photoreceptor cell-specific arrestin and IRBP promoter (Kimura et al., 2000). Finally, the bovine gene related to Rx , QRX , was recently described as being capable of modulating photoreceptor gene expression (Wang et al., 2004). Findings that show sequence changes in the human QRX gene of individuals with retinal degeneration (Wang et al., 2004) indicate that Rx genes might be also involved in later steps of vertebrate eye formation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Eric Swindell for a critical reading of the manuscript. This research was sponsored by NIH/NEI grant EY12505 to M.J. and by NIH/NEI training grant T32 EY07102 to T.J.B.

References

- ACAMPORA, D., MAZAN, S., LALLEMAND, Y., AVANTAGGIATO, V., MAURY, M., SIMEONE, A. and BRULET, P. (1995). Forebrain and midbrain regions are deleted in Otx2-/- mutants due to a defective anterior neuroectoderm specification during gastrulation. Development 121, 3279-90.
- ADELMANN, H. B. (1929). Experimental studies on the development of the eye. II. The eye-forming potencies of the urodelan neural plate (Triton teniatus and Amblysoma punctatum). Journal of Experimental Zoology 54, 291-317.
- ANDREAZZOLI, M., GESTRI, G., ANGELONI, D., MENNA, E. and BARSACCHI, G. (1999). Role of Xrx1 in Xenopus eye and anterior brain development. Development 126, 2451-60.
- ANDREAZZOLI, M., GESTRI, G., CREMISI, F., CASAROSA, S., DAWID, I. B. and BARSACCHI, G. (2003). Xrx1 controls proliferation and neurogenesis in Xenopus anterior neural plate. Development 130, 5143-54.
- ARENDT, D., and WITTBRODT, J. (2001). Reconstructing the eyes of Urbilateria. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 356, 1545-63.
- ARENDT, D. (2003). Evolution of eyes and photoreceptor cell types. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 47, 563-571.
- BLITZ, I. L. and CHO, K. W. (1995). Anterior neurectoderm is progressively induced during gastrulation: the role of the Xenopus homeobox gene orthodenticle. Development 121, 993-1004.
- BLIXT, A., MAHLAPUU, M., AITOLA, M., PELTO-HUIKKO, M., ENERBACK, S. and CARLSSON, P. (2000). A forkhead gene, FoxE3, is essential for lens epithelial proliferation and closure of the lens vesicle. Genes Dev 14, 245-54.
- BOUWMEESTER, T., KIM, S., SASAI, Y., LU, B. and DE ROBERTIS, E. M. (1996). Cerberus is a head-inducing secreted factor expressed in the anterior endoderm of Spemann's organizer. Nature 382, 595-601.
- BROWNELL, I., DIRKSEN, M. and JAMRICH, M. (2000). Forkhead Foxe3 maps to the dysgenetic lens locus and is critical in lens development and differentiation. Genesis 27, 81-93.
- CASAROSA, S., AMATO, M. A., ANDREAZZOLI, M., GESTRI, G., BARSACCHI, G. and CREMISI, F. (2003). Xrx1 controls proliferation and multipotency of retinal progenitors. Mol Cell Neurosci 22, 25-36.
- CASAROSA, S., ANDREAZZOLI, M., SIMEONE, A. and BARSACCHI, G. (1997). Xrx1, a novel Xenopus homeobox gene expressed during eye and pineal gland development. Mech Dev 61, 187-98.
- CHEN, C. M. and CEPKO, C. L. (2002). The chicken RaxL gene plays a role in the initiation of photoreceptor differentiation. Development 129, 5363-75.
- CHEN, R., AMOUI, M., ZHANG, Z. and MARDON, G. (1997). Dachshund and eyes absent proteins form a complex and function synergistically to induce ectopic eye development in Drosophila. Cell 91, 893-903.
- CHIANG, C., LITINGTUNG, Y., LEE, E., YOUNG, K. E., CORDEN, J. L., WESTPHAL, H. and BEACHY, P. A. (1996). Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene function. Nature 383, 407-13.
- CHOW, R. L., ALTMANN, C. R., LANG, R. A. and HEMMATI-BRIVANLOU, A. (1999). Pax6 induces ectopic eyes in a vertebrate. *Development* 126, 4213-22.
- CHUANG, J. C., MATHERS, P. H. and RAYMOND, P. A. (1999). Expression of three Rx homeobox genes in embryonic and adult zebrafish. Mech Dev84, 195-8.
- CHUANG, J. C. and RAYMOND, P. A. (2001). Zebrafish genes rx1 and rx2 help define the region of forebrain that gives rise to retina. Dev Bio/231, 13-30.
- DAVIS, R. J., SHEN, W., SANDLER, Y. I., AMOUI, M., PURCELL, P., MAAS, R., OU, C. N., VOGEL, H., BEAUDET, A. L. and MARDON, G. (2001). Dach1 mutant mice bear no gross abnormalities in eye, limb, and brain development and exhibit postnatal lethality. Mol Cell Biol 21, 1484-90.
- DAVIS, R. J., TAVSANLI, B. C., DITTRICH, C., WALLDORF, U. and MARDON, G. (2003). Drosophila retinal homeobox (drx) is not required for establishment of the visual system, but is required for brain and clypeus development. Dev Biol 259, 272-87.
- DESCHET, K., BOURRAT, F., RISTORATORE, F., CHOURROUT, D. and JOLY, J. S. (1999). Expression of the medaka (Oryzias latipes) Ol-Rx3 paired-like gene in two diencephalic derivatives, the eye and the hypothalamus. Mech Dev 83, 179-82.
- EGGERT, T., HAUCK, B., HILDEBRANDT, N., GEHRING, W. J. and WALLDORF, U. (1998). Isolation of a Drosophila homolog of the vertebrate homeobox gene Rx and its possible role in brain and eve development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95, 2343-8.
- EKKER, S. C., UNGAR, A. R., GREENSTEIN, P., VON KESSLER, D. P., PORTER, J. A., MOON, R. T. and BEACHY, P. A. (1995). Patterning activities of vertebrate hedgehog proteins in the developing eye and brain. Curr Biol 5, 944-55.
- FRASER, S. E. (1999). Cell interactions and morphogenetic motions pattern the zebrafish nervous system. In Cell lineage and fate determination., (ed. S. A. Moody). London: Academic Press.
- FUJIWARA, M., UCHIDA, T., OSUMI-YAMASHITA, N. and ETO, K. (1994). Uchida rat (rSey): a new mutant rat with craniofacial abnormalities resembling those of the mouse Sey mutant. Differentiation 57, 31-8.
- FURUKAWA, T., KOZAK, C. A. and CEPKO, C. L. (1997a). rax, a novel paired-type homeobox gene, shows expression in the anterior neural fold and developing retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94, 3088-93.
- FURUKAWA, T., MORROW, E. M. and CEPKO, C. L. (1997b). Crx, a novel otx-like homeobox gene, shows photoreceptor-specific expression and regulates photoreceptor differentiation. Cell 91, 531-41.
- GEHRING, W. J. (1996). The master control gene for morphogenesis and evolution of the eye. Genes Cells 1, 11-5.
- GEHRING, W. J. and IKEO, K. (1999). Pax 6: mastering eye morphogenesis and eye evolution. Trends Genet 15, 371-7.
- GLASER, T., WALTON, D. S. and MAAS, R. L. (1992). Genomic structure, evolutionary conservation and aniridia mutations in the human PAX6 gene. Nat Genet2, 232-9.
- GLINKA, A., WU, W., DELIUS, H., MONAGHAN, A. P., BLUMENSTOCK, C. and NIEHRS, C. (1998). Dickkopf-1 is a member of a new family of secreted proteins and functions in head induction. Nature 391, 357-62.
- GRINDLEY, J. C., DAVIDSON, D. R. and HILL, R. E. (1995). The role of Pax-6 in eye and nasal development. Development 121, 1433-42.
- HALDER, G., CALLAERTS, P. and GEHRING, W. J. (1995). New perspectives on eye evolution. Curr Opin Genet Dev 5, 602-9.
- HANSON, I. M., FLETCHER, J. M., JORDAN, T., BROWN, A., TAYLOR, D., ADAMS, R. J., PUNNETT, H. H. and VAN HEYNINGEN, V. (1994). Mutations at the PAX6 locus are found in heterogeneous anterior segment malformations including Peters' anomaly. Nat Genet 6, 168-73.
- HARDCASTLE, Z., and PAPALOPULU, N. (2000). Distinct effects of XBF-1 in regulating the cell cycle inhibitor p27 (XIC1) and imparting a neural fate. Development 127: 1303-1314.
- HATTA, K., KIMMEL, C. B., HO, R. K. and WALKER, C. (1991). The cyclops mutation blocks specification of the floor plate of the zebrafish central nervous system. Nature 350, 339-41.
- HILL, R. E., FAVOR, J., HOGAN, B. L., TON, C. C., SAUNDERS, G. F., HANSON, I. M., PROSSER, J., JORDAN, T., HASTIE, N. D. and VAN HEYNINGEN, V. (1991). Mouse small eye results from mutations in a paired-like homeobox-containing gene. Nature 354, 522-5.
- HIRSCH, N., ZIMMERMAN, L. B., GRAY, J., CHAE, J., CURRAN, K. L., FISHER, M., OGINO, H. and GRAINGER, R. M. (2002). Xenopus tropicalis transgenic lines and their use in the study of embryonic induction. Dev Dyn 225, 522-35.
- HOGAN, B. L., HORSBURGH, G., COHEN, J., HETHERINGTON, C. M., FISHER, G. and LYON, M. F. (1986). Small eyes (Sey): a homozygous lethal mutation on chromosome 2 which affects the differentiation of both lens and nasal placodes in the mouse. J Embryol Exp Morphol 97, 95-110.
- HOLT, C. E., BERTSCH, T. W., ELLIS, H. M. and HARRIS, W. A. (1988). Cellular determination in the Xenopus retina is independent of lineage and birth date. Neuron 1, 15-26.
- HOUART, C., CANEPARO, L., HEISENBERG, C., BARTH, K., TAKE-UCHI, M. and WILSON, S. (2002). Establishment of the telencephalon during gastrulation by local antagonism of Wnt signaling. Neuron 35, 255-65.
- JAMRICH, M. and SATO, S. (1989). Differential gene expression in the anterior neural plate during gastrulation of Xenopus laevis. Development 105, 779-86.
- JEAN, D., BERNIER, G. and GRUSS, P. (1999). Six6 (Optx2) is a novel murine Six3 related homeobox gene that demarcates the presumptive pituitary/hypothalamic axis and the ventral optic stalk. Mech Dev 84, 31-40.
- JORDAN, T., HANSON, I., ZALETAYEV, D., HODGSON, S., PROSSER, J., SEAWRIGHT, A., HASTIE, N. and VAN HEYNINGEN, V. (1992). The human PAX6 gene is mutated in two patients with aniridia. Nat Genet 1, 328-32.
- KIMURA, A., SINGH, D., WAWROUSEK, E. F., KIKUCHI, M., NAKAMURA, M., and SHINOHARA. T. (2000). Both PCE-1/RX and OTX/CRX interactions are necessary for photoreceptor-specific gene expression. J Biol Chem. 275, 1152-60.
- LAGUTIN, O. V., ZHU, C. C., KOBAYASHI, D., TOPCZEWSKI, J., SHIMAMURA, K., PUELLES, L., RUSSELL, H. R., MCKINNON, P. J., SOLNICA-KREZEL, L. and OLIVER, G. (2003). Six3 repression of Wnt signaling in the anterior neuroectoderm is essential for vertebrate forebrain development. Genes Dev 17, 368-79.
- LI, H., TIERNEY, C., WEN, L., WU, J. Y. and RAO, Y. (1997). A single morphogenetic field gives rise to two retina primordia under the influence of the prechordal plate. Development 124, 603-15.
- LI, X., PERISSI, V., LIU, F., ROSE, D. W. and ROSENFELD, M. G. (2002). Tissuespecific regulation of retinal and pituitary precursor cell proliferation. Science 297, 1180-3.
- LOOSLI, F., KOSTER, R. W., CARL, M., KRONE, A. and WITTBRODT, J. (1998). Six3, a medaka homologue of the Drosophila homeobox gene sine oculis is expressed in the anterior embryonic shield and the developing eye. Mech Dev74, 159-64.
- LOOSLI, F., STAUB, W., FINGER-BAIER, K. C., OBER, E. A., VERKADE, H., WITTBRODT, J. and BAIER, H. (2003). Loss of eyes in zebrafish caused by mutation of chokh/rx3. EMBO Rep 4, 894-9.
- LOOSLI, F., WINKLER, S., BURGTORF, C., WURMBACH, E., ANSORGE, W., HENRICH, T., GRABHER, C., ARENDT, D., CARL, M., KRONE, A. et al. (2001). Medaka eyeless is the key factor linking retinal determination and eye growth. Development 128, 4035-44.
- LOWE, C. J., WU, M., SALIC, A., EVANS, L., LANDER, E., STANGE-THOMANN, N., GRUBER, C. E., GERHART, J. and KIRSCHNER, M. (2003). Anteroposterior patterning in hemichordates and the origins of the chordate nervous system. Cell 113, 853-65.
- LUPO, G., HARRIS, W. A., BARSACCHI, G. and VIGNALI, R. (2002). Induction and patterning of the telencephalon in Xenopus laevis. Development 129, 5421-36.
- MACDONALD, R., BARTH, K. A., XU, Q., HOLDER, N., MIKKOLA, I. and WILSON, S. W. (1995). Midline signalling is required for Pax gene regulation and patterning of the eyes. Development 121, 3267-78.
- MANGOLD, O. Uber die Induktionsfahigkeit der verschiedenen Bezirke der Neurula von Urodelen. Naturwissenschaften 21, 761-766.
- MARDON, G., SOLOMON, N. M. and RUBIN, G. M. (1994). dachshund encodes a nuclear protein required for normal eye and leg development in Drosophila. Development 120, 3473-86.
- MARTINEZ-MORALES, J. R., DOLEZ, V., RODRIGO, I., ZACCARINI, R., LECONTE, L,. BOVOLENTA, P., and SAULE, S. (2003). OTX2 activates the molecular network underlying retina pigment epithelium differentiation. J Biol Chem. 278, 1721-31.
- MATHERS, P. H., GRINBERG, A., MAHON, K. A. and JAMRICH, M. (1997). The Rx homeobox gene is essential for vertebrate eye development. Nature 387, 603-7.
- MATHERS, P. H., MILLER, A., DONIACH, T., DIRKSEN, M. L. and JAMRICH, M. (1995). Initiation of anterior head-specific gene expression in uncommitted ectoderm of Xenopus laevis by ammonium chloride. Dev Biol 171, 641-54.
- OHUCHI, H., TOMONARI, S., ITOH, H., MIKAWA, T. and NOJI, S. (1999). Identification of chick rax/rx genes with overlapping patterns of expression during early eye and brain development. *Mech Dev* 85, 193-5.
- OLIVER, G., MAILHOS, A., WEHR, R., COPELAND, N. G., JENKINS, N. A. and GRUSS, P. (1995). Six3, a murine homologue of the sine oculis gene, demarcates the most anterior border of the developing neural plate and is expressed during eye development. Development 121, 4045-55.
- PANNESE, M., POLO, C., ANDREAZZOLI, M., VIGNALI, R., KABLAR, B., BARSACCHI, G. and BONCINELLI, E. (1995). The Xenopus homologue of Otx2 is a maternal homeobox gene that demarcates and specifies anterior body regions. Development 121, 707-20.
- PASQUIER, L., DUBOURG, C., BLAYAU, M., LAZARO, L., LE MAREC, B., DAVID, V. and ODENT, S. (2000). A new mutation in the six-domain of SIX3 gene causes holoprosencephaly. Eur J Hum Genet 8, 797-800.
- PERA, E. M., WESSELY, O., LI, S. Y. and DE ROBERTIS, E. M. (2001). Neural and head induction by insulin-like growth factor signals. Dev Cell 1, 655-65.
- PERRON, M., KANEKAR, S., VETTER, M. L. and HARRIS, W. A. (1998). The genetic sequence of retinal development in the ciliary margin of the Xenopus eye. Dev Biol 199, 185-200.
- PICARD, J. J. (1975). Xenopus laevis cement gland as an experimental model for embryonic differentiation. II. The competence of embryonic cells. J Embryol Exp Morphol 33, 969-78.
- PICCOLO, S., AGIUS, E., LEYNS, L., BHATTACHARYYA, S., GRUNZ, H., BOUWMEESTER, T. and DE ROBERTIS, E. M. (1999). The head inducer Cerberus is a multifunctional antagonist of Nodal, BMP and Wnt signals. Nature 397, 707-10.
- PICHAUD, F., TREISMAN, J. and DESPLAN, C. (2001). Reinventing a common strategy for patterning the eye. Cell 105, 9-12.
- PINEDA, D., ROSSI, L., BATISTONI, R., SALVETTI, A., MARSAL, M., GREMIGNI, V., FALLENI, A., GONZALEZ-LINARES, J., DERI, P. and SALO, E. (2002). The genetic network of prototypic planarian eye regeneration is Pax6 independent. Development 129, 1423-34.
- PINEDA, D. and SALO, E. (2002). Planarian Gtsix3, a member of the Six/so gene family, is expressed in brain branches but not in eye cells. Gene Expr Patterns 2, 169-73.
- QUIRING, R., WALLDORF, U., KLOTER, U. and GEHRING, W. J. (1994). Homology of the eyeless gene of Drosophila to the Small eye gene in mice and Aniridia in humans. Science 265, 785-9.
- RASMUSSEN, J. T., DEARDORFF, M. A., TAN, C., RAO, M. S., KLEIN, P. S. and VETTER, M. L. (2001). Regulation of eye development by frizzled signaling in Xenopus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98, 3861-6.
- SAKAGAMI, K., ISHII, A., SHIMADA, N. and YASUDA, K. (2003). RaxL regulates chick ganglion cell development. Mech Dev 120, 881-95.

770 *T.J. Bailey et al.*

- SALO, E., PINEDA, D., MARSAL, M., GONZALEZ, J., GREMIGNI, V. and BATISTONI, R. (2002). Genetic network of the eye in Platyhelminthes: expression and functional analysis of some players during planarian regeneration. Gene 287, 67-74.
- SALVINI-PLAWEN, L. V. and MAYR, E. (1977). On the Evolution of photoreceptors and eyes. Evolutionary biology 10, 207-263.
- SASAI, Y., LU, B., STEINBEISSER, H., GEISSERT, D., GONT, L. K. and DE ROBERTIS, E. M. (1994). Xenopus chordin: a novel dorsalizing factor activated by organizer-specific homeobox genes. Cell 79, 779-90.
- SATER, A. K., ALDERTON, J. M., and STEINHARDT, R. A. (1994). An increase in intracellular pH during neural induction in Xenopus. Development 120, 433-42.
- SIMEONE, A., ACAMPORA, D., MALLAMACI, A., STORNAIUOLO, A., D'APICE, M. R., NIGRO, V. and BONCINELLI, E. (1993). A vertebrate gene related to orthodenticle contains a homeodomain of the bicoid class and demarcates anterior neuroectoderm in the gastrulating mouse embryo. EMBO J12, 2735-47.
- SIVE, H. L., HATTORI, K. and WEINTRAUB, H. (1989). Progressive determination during formation of the anteroposterior axis in Xenopus laevis. Cell 58, 171-80.
- SPEMANN, H. (1931). Ueber den Anteil von Implantat und Wirtskeim an der Orientierung und Beschaffenheit der induzierten Embryonalanlage. Roux Arch. 123, 389-517.
- SPEMANN, H. (1938). Embryonic development and induction. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- SPEMANN, H. and MANGOLD, H. (1924). Ueber Induktion von Embryonalanlagen durch Implantation artfremder Organisatoren. Wilhelm Roux's Arch Entwicklungsmech Org 100, 599-638.
- STIEMKE, M. M. and HOLLYFIELD, J. G. (1995). Cell birthdays in Xenopus laevis retina. Differentiation 58, 189-93.
- TABATA, Y., OUCHI, Y., KAMIYA, H., MANABE, T., ARAI, K., and WATANABE, S. (2004). Specification of the retinal fate of mouse embryonic stem cells by ectopic expression of Rx/rax, a homeobox gene. Mol Cell Biol. 10, 4513-21.
- TON, C. C., HIRVONEN, H., MIWA, H., WEIL, M. M., MONAGHAN, P., JORDAN, T., VAN HEYNINGEN, V., HASTIE, N. D., MEIJERS-HEIJBOER, H., DRECHSLER, M. et al. (1991). Positional cloning and characterization of a paired box- and homeobox-containing gene from the aniridia region. Cell67, 1059-74.
- TOY, J. and SUNDIN, O. H. (1999). Expression of the optx2 homeobox gene during mouse development. Mech Dev 83, 183-6.
- TUCKER, P., LAEMLE, L., MUNSON, A., KANEKAR, S., OLIVER, E. R., BROWN, N., SCHLECHT, H., VETTER, M. and GLASER, T. (2001). The eyeless mouse mutation (ey1) removes an alternative start codon from the Rx/rax homeobox gene. Genesis 31, 43-53.
- UZMAN, J. A., PATIL,S., UZGARE, A. R., and SATER, A. K. (1998). The role of intracellular alkalinization in the establishment of anterior neural fate in Xenopus. Dev Biol. 193, 10-20.
- VARGA, Z. M., WEGNER, J. and WESTERFIELD, M. (1999). Anterior movement of ventral diencephalic precursors separates the primordial eye field in the neural plate and requires cyclops. Development 126, 5533-46.
- VORONINA, V. A., KOZHEMYAKINA, E. A., O'KERNICK, C. M., KAHN, N. D., WENGER, S. L., LINBERG, J. V., SCHNEIDER, A. S. and MATHERS, P. H. (2004). Mutations in the human RAX homeobox gene in a patient with anophthalmia and sclerocornea. Hum Mol Genet 13, 315-22.
- WALLIS, D. E., ROESSLER, E., HEHR, U., NANNI, L., WILTSHIRE, T., RICHIERI-COSTA, A., GILLESSEN-KAESBACH, G., ZACKAI, E. H., ROMMENS, J. and MUENKE, M. (1999). Mutations in the homeodomain of the human SIX3 gene cause holoprosencephaly. Nat Genet 22, 196-8.
- WALTHER, C. and GRUSS, P. (1991). Pax-6, a murine paired box gene, is expressed in the developing CNS. Development 113, 1435-49.
- WANG, Q. L., CHEN, S., ESUMI, N., SWAIN, P.K., HAINES, H.S., PENG, G., MELIA, B.M., MCINTOSH, I., HECKENLIVELY, J.R., JACOBSON, S. G., STONE, E. M., SWAROOP, A., and ZACK, D. J. (2004). QRX, a Novel Homeobox Gene, Modulates Photoreceptor Gene Expression. Hum Mol Genet 13: 1025-1040
- WETTS, R. and FRASER, S. E. (1988). Multipotent precursors can give rise to all major cell types of the frog retina. Science 239, 1142-5.
- WETTS, R., SERBEDZIJA, G. N. and FRASER, S. E. (1989). Cell lineage analysis reveals multipotent precursors in the ciliary margin of the frog retina. Dev Biol 136, 254-63.
- WINKLER, S., LOOSLI, F., HENRICH, T., WAKAMATSU, Y. and WITTBRODT, J. (2000). The conditional medaka mutation eyeless uncouples patterning and morphogenesis of the eye. Development 127, 1911-9.
- WOLFF, T. and READY, D. F. (1991). The beginning of pattern formation in the Drosophila compound eye: the morphogenetic furrow and the second mitotic wave. Development 113, 841-50.
- ZHANG, L., EL-HODIRI, H. M., MA, H. F., ZHANG, X., SERVETNICK, M., WENSEL, T. G. and JAMRICH, M. (2003). Targeted expression of the dominant-negative FGFR4a in the eye using Xrx1A regulatory sequences interferes with normal retinal development. Development 130, 4177-86.
- ZHANG, L., MATHERS, P. H. and JAMRICH, M. (2000). Function of Rx, but not Pax6, is essential for the formation of retinal progenitor cells in mice. Genesis 28, 135-42.
- ZIMMERMAN, L. B., DE JESUS-ESCOBAR, J. M. and HARLAND, R. M. (1996). The Spemann organizer signal noggin binds and inactivates bone morphogenetic protein 4. Cell 86, 599-606.
- ZUBER, M. E., PERRON, M., PHILPOTT, A., BANG, A. and HARRIS, W. A. (1999). Giant eyes in Xenopus laevis by overexpression of XOptx2. Cell 98, 341-52.